

DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney
AMBER M. CRAIG
Assistant United States Attorney
333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
PHONE: (702) 388-6336
FAX: (702) 388-6698

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JIANGUO HAN, and
BING HAN,

Defendants.

2:15-cr-069-JCM-NJK

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
GOVERNMENT'S DEADLINE TO
RESPOND TO MOTION TO
SUPPRESS EVIDENCE AND
STATEMENTS (#38)
(First Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, and Amber M. Craig, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Robert Curtis, Esq., counsel for Defendants Jianguo Han and Bing Han, that the Government's deadline to respond to the Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence and Statements, currently scheduled for June 1, 2015, be vacated and continued for thirty days, or to a date to be set at the Court's convenience.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties are engaged in plea negotiations which may resolve the case without the need to litigate pretrial motions, and additional time is needed for the negotiations.
2. The Defendants are out of custody and do not object to the continuance.
3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the response deadline.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JIANGUO HAN, and
BING HAN,

Defendants.

2:15-cr-069-JCM-NJK

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW, AND ORDER**

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. The parties are engaged in plea negotiations which may resolve the case without the need to litigate pretrial motions, and additional time is needed for the negotiations.
2. Defendants are out of custody and do not object to the continuance.
3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the response deadline.
4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the

1 public and the Defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely
2 to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity
3 within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the
4 exercise of due diligence.

5 The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United
6 States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(A), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

7 **ORDER**

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's deadline to file its response to
9 Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence and Statements, currently scheduled for June 1, 2015, be
10 vacated and continued to the 7th day of July, 2015.

11 DATED this 29th day of May, 2015.

12
13 
14 HONORABLE NANCY J. KOPPE
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24